IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA STATESVILLE DIVISION 5:05CV232-V 5:03CR29-V

)	
)	
)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	
)	

THIS MATTER comes before the Court upon Petitioner's Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence, filed July 25, 2005.

In his Motion to Vacate, Petitioner claims, among other things, that he specifically asked his counsel to file a direct appeal but that his counsel failed to file the requested appeal. An attorney's failure to file a notice of appeal, when requested by his client to do so, is <u>per se</u> ineffective assistance of counsel. Prejudice to the defendant is presumed irrespective of the merits of the appeal. <u>Evitts v. Lucey</u>, 469 U.S. 387, 391-405 (1985); <u>United States v. Peak</u>, 992 F.2d 39 (4th Cir. 1993). Accordingly, on a showing that counsel was requested to appeal but failed to do so, the prescribed remedy is to vacate the original judgment and enter a new judgment from which an appeal can be taken. <u>Peak</u>, 992 F.2d at 42.

Regardless of how Respondent responds to Petitioner's allegation, at a minimum a factual dispute will exist over whether or not Petitioner requested his counsel to file a direct appeal. Consequently, the Court will vacate the original judgment and direct the Clerk to enter a

new judgment from which an appeal can be taken.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner's Motion to Vacate is **GRANTED in part** and **DENIED in part**;
- 2. All of Petitioner's claims except for his ineffective assistance of counsel claim based upon his attorney's failure to file an appeal are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**;
- 3. Petitioner's criminal judgment is vacated due to his attorney's failure to file a direct appeal;
- 4. The Clerk is directed to prepare a new criminal judgment with the same sentence and conditions; and
- 5. The Clerk is directed to file a notice of appeal on Petitioner's behalf.

Signed: August 10, 2005

Richard L. Voorhees United States District Judge